

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRS		T NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/735,989	10/735,989 12/15/2003		Somenath Mitra	436/12	4147		
	590 03/22/200 AN GIBSON & DER			EXAMINER			
900 ROUTE 9 NORTH WOODBRIDGE, NJ 07095				ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3742			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DA	YS		03/22/2007	PA	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/735,98	39	MITRA ET AL.				
		Examiner	•	Art Unit				
		Daniel L. I	Robinson	3742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 29 December 2	006.					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,		••				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election red	juirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) t	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A44- 1	W-1			•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/735,989

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Declaration

The declaration filed 8-9-2006 is acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a microheater, classified in class 219, subclass 548.
- II. Claims 10-13, drawn to a microfluidic, classified in class 392, subclass 465.
- III. Claims 14-20, drawn to a method, classified in class 392, subclass 466.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group III and Groups I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can produce a microheater or a microfluidic device.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/735,989

Art Unit: 3742

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A a microfluidic device with a microheater with a microchannel formed on a substrate

Species B a microfluidic device with a continuous conductor layer

The species are independent or distinct because each Species claim features not in the other Species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30-2:30.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dlr

DANIEL ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER